

C A No. Applied For
Complaint No. 212/2023

In the matter of:

Vinay Kumar BansalComplainant

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power LimitedRespondent

Quorum:

1. Mr. Nishat A Alvi, Member (CRM)
2. Mr. P.K. Agrawal, Member (Legal)
3. Mr. H.S. Sohal, Member

Appearance:

1. None present on behalf of the complainant
2. Ms. Ritu Gupta, Mr. R.S. Bisht & Ms. Shweta Chaudhary, On behalf of BYPL

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 10th August, 2023
Date of Order: 18th August, 2023

Order Pronounced By:- Mr. P.K. Agrawal, Member (Legal)

1. The brief facts of the case giving rise to this grievance are that complainant applied for load enhancement against CA No. 153303074 at premises no. B-10/4, GF, plot no. 10/4, Jhilmil Industrial Area, Delhi-110095 but respondent rejected his application of load enhancement on pretext of MCD objection.

1 of 2

Attested True Copy


Secretary

CGRF (BYPL)



Complaint No. 212/2023

2. OP in its reply submitted that the complainant applied for load enhancement and the request of complainant has not been accepted in respect of industrial electricity connection bearing CA No. 153303074. The connection has sanctioned load of 22 KW and the complainant wants to load to be enhanced to 60 KW. The site was visited and complainant was asked to fulfil the formalities pertaining to load enhancement which include submission of NOC from MCD as the subject premises was found booked by MCD for unauthorized construction.


OP further added that connection was released in April 2021, on the basis of affidavit received from the consumer wherein it is specifically mentioned that there is no dispute of MCD and if any objection is found in future department can take action. In April 2021 on account of severe Covid situation and lack of manpower connection was released on the basis of affidavit of consumer. The premises of the complainant is booked vide letter no. EE(B)-I/SH(S)/2020/D-3204 dated 08.09.2020 and letter no. EE(B)-I/SH(S)/2020/D-3346 dated 16.10.2020. the subject property is at serial no. 15 as per the said list unauthorized construction is in the shape of basement floor.


3. On three consecutive hearings main counsel of the complainant was not present. It seems that complainant is no longer interested in pursuing the matter in the Forum.


4. In the facts and circumstances the case is dismissed as default.

5. No order as to the cost. Both the parties should be informed accordingly.

File be consigned to Record Room.


(H.S. SOHAL)
MEMBER


(NISHAT A. ALVI)
MEMBER (CRM)


(P.K. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (LEGAL)

Attested True Copy


Secretary
CGRF (BYPL)