



C A No. Applied for
Complaint No. 238/2022

In the matter of:

Rajiv JainComplainant

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power LimitedRespondent

Quorum:

1. Mr. P.K. Singh, Chairman
2. Mr. P.K. Agrawal, Member (Legal)
3. Mr. S.R. Khan, Member (Technical)
4. Mr. H.S. Sohal, Member

Appearance:

1. Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Counsel of the complainant
2. Ms. Ritu Gupta, Mr. Vijay Rana, Mr. Shubham Singh & Ms. Divya Sharma, On behalf of BYPL

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 16th March, 2023
Date of Order: 10th April, 2023

Order Pronounced By:- Mr. S.R. Khan, Member (Technical)

1. Present complaint has been filed by Mr. Rajiv Jain, against BYPL-NNG.
2. The brief facts of the case giving rise to this grievance are that complainant Mr. Rajiv Jain, is owner of property no. Godown

Attested True Copy

Secretary
CGRF (BYPL)

   1 of 4

Complaint No. 238/2022

7, GF, Main Wazirabad Road, Amar Colony, Delhi-94. It is also his complaint that he applied for new electricity connection vide request no. 8005920092 but respondent rejected his application for new connection on the pretext of long service case required.

3. OP in their reply briefly stated that the complainant is seeking new connection for a godown for non-domestic purposes at premises situated at Main Wazirabad Road, Amar Colony, Delhi-94. OP further added that the complainant has placed on record one duly notarized GPA dated 08.09.2020, alongwith the said GPA, certified copy of sale deed dated 11.08.1971 in respect of 3 Bighas of land out of Khasra No. 703, situated in Village Gokulpur, Illaqa Shahdara has been filed claiming that the Godown property is part of 3 Bighas of land.

The site of the complainant was visited and it was found that area involved is not electrified. It was also observed by them that one 3 way O/D RMU, one 630 KVA transformer and two LT ACB (1250+800amp) was existing at sub-station Harnam Palace, Meet Nagar but no LT network is available inside the complex wherein the fresh electricity connection is sought.

As no LT network was available i.e. overhead lines/feeder pillar etc as such long service line from existing network would be required for granting the fresh electricity connection. However, there was no support system existing in the complex/near the applied premises to carry the long service line.

Taking into consideration the total area which is un-electrified and future demand approx. 12 Nos PCC poles along with LT AB cable and 3 phase DBs are required for the electrification of the complex/area.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

Complaint No. 238/2022

Accordingly, letter was issued to the applicant to provide layout, electrification plan from the owner of the complex for further necessary action.

4. The counsel of the complainant argued that respondent has already released many connections in the said area. All the connections released by respondent are through under the pipe line, thus OP can release the complainant's connection also through under the pipe line. He further submitted that recently many more connections are released from sub-station Harnam Singh Palace Transformer vide CA No. 153824603, 153824602, 153737631, 153824604 etc.
5. The LR of the OP submitted that the complainant should provide complete layout of complex, details of the roads where LT network is required to be erected keeping in mind the requirement of expected present and future loads where LT network is required to be erected.
6. Heard both the parties and perused the record. Heard the arguments of Authorized Representative of the complainant and OP-BYPL.
7. Heard both the parties and perused the record. From the perusal of evidence placed on record pleadings and after hearing both the parties it is transpired that the distance from the transformer to the premise of the complainant is approx 60 meters which is too far. Therefore, the complainant is directed to provide proper space for pole installation for release of new electricity connections.

 3 of 4

Attested True Copy

Secretary
CGRF (BYPL)

Complaint No. 238/2022


ORDER


Complaint is rejected. OP has rightly rejected the application for new connection of the complainant. The complainant is further directed to provide space to OP for laying LT network in the area.

The case is disposed off as above.

No order as to the cost. Both the parties should be informed accordingly. File be consigned to Record Room.


(H.S. SOHAL)
MEMBER


(P.K. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (LEGAL)


(S.R. KHAN)
MEMBER (TECH.)


(P.K. SINGH)
CHAIRMAN

4 of 4

Attested True Copy


Secretary
CGRF (BYPL)