



Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
FOR BSES YAMUNA POWER LIMITED
(Constituted under section 42 (5) of Indian Electricity Act. 2003)
Sub-Station Building BSES (YPL) Regd. Office Karkardooma,
Shahdara, Delhi-110032
Phone: 32978140 Fax: 22384886
E-mail: cgrfbypl@hotmail.com
SECY/CHN 015/08NKS

C A No. Applied For
Complaint No. 132/2021

In the matter of:

Nand Kishore SharmaComplainant

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power LimitedRespondent

Quorum:

1. Mrs. Vinay Singh Member(Law)
2. Mrs. Monika Taneja, Member (CRM)

Appearance:

1. None present on behalf of the complainant
2. Mr. Imran Siddiqi and Ms. Shweta Chaudhary, On behalf of BYPL

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 31st January, 2022
Date of Order: 03rd February, 2022

Order Pronounced By:- Mrs. Vinay Singh, Member (Law)

Briefly stated facts of the case are that the complainant applied for new electricity connection but the respondent has not released the same till date.

It is also his submission that he applied for new electricity connection vide application no. 8005184053 for a load of 1 KW but the respondent company rejected his application for new connection on the pretext of "premises is under "RIGHT OF WAY" of HT Line.

Complaint No. 132/2021

Therefore, he requested the forum to direct the respondent for immediate release of the new connection.

Notices were issued to both the parties to appear before the Forum on 17.01.2021. Notice was also issued to DGM, DTL, Room No. 105, 220KV Office Complex, Patparganj, Delhi-110092 for providing name and voltage level of the transmission line, electrical clearances and approximate location of the premises with respect to adjacent towers for the premises.

The respondent in their reply stated that the complainant applied for new electricity connection at the premises no. H.No. 9, GF, Kh.No. 136 @ 419, Gali No. 4, Anuvrat Vihar, Sabhapur, Delhi-94 vide request no. 8005184053 dated 24.09.2021.

On site verification it was found that the premises in issue is under RIGHT OF WAY of HT Line, a deficiency letter was issued to the complainant on 25.10.2021 duly intimating the complainant that "Premises is under RIGHT of way of HT Line" (Horizontal distance from premise to HT line conductor is 1.75 meters approx. vertical distance from ground to HT Conductor is 14.70 meters approx and vertical distance from roof to H.T. conductor = $14.70 - 9.40 = 5.30$ meters approx), and the building height is 9.40 meter approx. Terrace area is 207 sq. feet, mumty height = 7 feet and mumty area is 28.83 sq. feet, hence, the new electricity connection is not possible.

It was also their submission that Dy. Secretary (Dept. of Power) vide its letter dated 18.01.2017 has clarified that DISCOMS cannot provide electricity connections under HT lines as, as per CEA Regulations 2010, there is a right of way for the HT lines under various voltage level. Accordingly, since the issuance of the said letter the DISCOMS are not issuing electricity connection under HT lines. It was also mentioned that 220 KV HT lines pertains to DTL and only DTL can ascertain the clearance of the connection as per CEA Regulations.

M2



Complaint No. 154/2021

The matter was listed for hearing on 17.01.2022, when none was present on behalf of the complainant and respondent filed their reply and inspection report also but no report received from DTL. Secretary of the Forum was directed to issue another letter to DTL regarding horizontal and vertical distance from the premises of the complainant to HT line. Respondent was also directed to review the horizontal and vertical distance from HT line.

The matter was finally heard on 31.01.2022, when again none was present on behalf of the complainant and respondent filed a fresh reply in respect of horizontal and vertical distances but DTL has not filed any reply till date.

Sh. Deepak Jain, AM (PS) D Karwal Nagar, was directed to submit a written letter regarding technical feasibility of the connection. DTL is given three days time to submit their clarification. Matter was reserved for orders.

We have gone through the submissions made by both the parties and heard their arguments. From the narration of facts and material placed before us we find that the premises where the electricity connection has been requested by the complainant is in the right of way width of 220 KV (EHV) Transmission line of DTL as submitted by the respondent and on this ground itself the respondent rejected the request quoting the letter no. F-11(17)/2014/Power/91 dated 18.01.17 from Govt. of NCT (Department of Power), New Delhi. The relevant portion is as under:-

"Connection under high tension lines: As per CEA Regulations 2010 there is a right of way for the HT lines under various voltage levels. No construction is allowed under these HT lines as per the right of way specified in the said CEA Regulation."

As per classification of the voltages by CEA-the 220KV voltage is classified under Extra High Voltage (EHV) and the building is not under the line as per explanation given at Schedule X for Rule 61 of CEA Safety Regulations. Also in the agenda point no. 4 for the 4th meeting of CEA standing committee on electrical safety, in January 2019, states as under:-

Mr



Complaint No. 132/2021

"In this regard, it may be stated that CEA Electrical Safety Regulations, 2010, with its present amendments does not cover/indicate the ROW requirements for transmission lines. Neither has it showed any relation of ROW with the electric safety clearance specified in Regulation 58, 60 and 61 of CEA Electrical Safety Regulations, 2010. Due to this, problem is being faced by the Transmission/Distribution licensees in prohibiting people from construction of permanent structures below or close to the EHV or HV electric corridors."

In a similar matter (complaint No. 73/2019), of Sunita Kumari, a letter was written to the Assistant Electrical Inspector, for safety clearances from 220 KV transmission lines, for their opinion/comments.

The Electrical Inspector, vide letter no. ED.4(01)/EI/2020/57 dated 31.01.2020 specify that "the present matter does not come under purview of Regulation 63 of the Central Electricity Authority (Measures relating to safety and Electric Supply) Regulations, 2010. However, the minimum vertical and horizontal clearances of the lines are to be maintained in accordance with the provisions under Regulation 61 of the Central Electricity Authority (Measures relating to safety and Electric Supply) Regulations, 2010. Further, the measurement of vertical and horizontal clearances of lines shall be as specified in Schedule X of the said Regulations."

There is no provision in the Act, CEA Regulations and DERC Regulations, which prohibits release of electricity connection in houses and permanent structures near or close to EHV line if electrical safety clearances as specified in Regulations 58, 60 and 61 of CEA electrical safety regulations 2010 are available for that particular construction. Regulations 61 of CEA 2010, is as under:-

ML



Complaint No. 132/2021

61 Clearances from buildings of lines of voltage exceeding 650V : (1) An overhead line shall not cross over an existing building as far as possible and no building shall be constructed under an existing overhead line.

(2) Where an overhead line of voltage exceeding 650 V passes above or adjacent to any building or part of the building it shall have on the basis of maximum sag a vertical clearance above the highest part of the building immediately under such line, of not less than:-

- | | |
|--|---|
| (i) For lines of voltages exceeding 650 Volts
Upto and including 33,000 volts | 3.7 meters |
| (ii) For lines of voltages exceeding 33 KV | 3.7 meters plus
0.30 meter for ever
additional 33,000 volts or
part thereof. |

(3) The horizontal clearance between the nearest conductor and any part of such building shall, on the basis of maximum deflection due to wind pressure be not less than:-

- | | |
|--|--|
| (i) For lines of voltages exceeding 650 Volts
Upto and including 11,000 volts | 1.2 meters |
| (ii) For lines of voltages exceeding 11, 000 V
And upto and including 33, 000 V | 2.0 meters |
| (iii) for lines of voltages exceeding 33 KV
for | 2.0 meters plus 0.3 meter
every additional 33,000 volts
or part thereof. |

Provisions for electrical safety in the DERC Regulations are as under:-

5. Safety of electrical installations:- (1) The Licensee and the consumer shall, in every respect, comply with the provisions of the Central Electricity Authority (Measures Relating to Safety and Electric Supply) Regulations, 2010, as amended from time to time.

The, under the line condition and line passing adjacent to the building sketch has also been shown on schedule X for the Rule 61 of CEA

M

[Signature]

Complaint No. 132/2021

Regulations, which indicates that if any portion of a building/construction lies between the vertical space between the spread width of the outermost conductors (along with swings due to wind pressure), then the Building/construction is said to be under the line. In the present case the building/construction is under the line and the line is passing/adjacent to the building, as per details submitted by the respondent. The details submitted by the respondent are as under:-


- i) Height of the conductor from ground 11.40 meters approx
- ii) Height of the building- 5.60 meters approx
- iii) Horizontal distance between line and building- 0 meters

Thus, there is violation of Regulations 58, 60 and 61 of the CEA electrical Safety Regulations 2010.

In view of above, the premises of the complainant lacks vertical and horizontal clearance from the HT line as per Regulations stated above, thus, this Forum cannot give any relief to the complainant.

The case is disposed off as above.

No order as to the cost. Both the parties should be informed accordingly.
Proceedings closed.


(MONIKA TANEJA)
MEMBER (CRM)


(VINAY SINGH)
MEMBER (LAW)